House of Representatives



General Assembly

File No. 589

February Session, 2018

Substitute House Bill No. 5516

House of Representatives, April 19, 2018

The Committee on Judiciary reported through REP. TONG of the 147th Dist., Chairperson of the Committee on the part of the House, that the substitute bill ought to pass.

AN ACT CONCERNING THE RESPONSIBILITIES AND FUNDING OF THE COMMISSION ON HUMAN RIGHTS.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Assembly convened:

- Section 1. Section 46a-55 of the general statutes is amended by adding subsections (c) and (d) as follows (*Effective October 1, 2018*):
- 3 (NEW) (c) The executive director, through the supervising attorney,
- 4 may assign a commission legal counsel to represent the interests of the
- 5 state in any suit or other proceeding in which civil or human rights are
- 6 at issue. Commission legal counsel may intervene as a matter of right
- 7 in any such suit or proceeding without permission of the parties, the
- 8 presiding officer or the court.
- 9 (NEW) (d) The executive director, through the supervising attorney,
- 10 may, within available appropriations, assign a commission legal
- 11 counsel to bring a civil action, in accordance with this subsection, in
- 12 lieu of an administrative hearing pursuant to section 46a-84, as
- 13 amended by this act, when the executive director determines that a

civil action is in the public interest and if the parties to the administrative hearing mutually agree, in writing, to the bringing of such civil action by commission legal counsel. The commission legal counsel shall bring such a civil action in the Superior Court not later than ninety days following the date the commission legal counsel notifies the parties of the executive director's determination. Such civil action may be served by certified mail and shall not be subject to the provisions of section 46a-100, 46a-101 or 46a-102. The jurisdiction of the Superior Court in an action brought under this subsection shall be limited to such claims, counterclaims, defenses or the like that could be presented at an administrative hearing before the commission, had the complaint remained with the commission for disposition. A complainant may intervene as a matter of right without permission of the court or the parties. The civil action shall be tried to the court without a jury. If the commission legal counsel determines that the interests of the state will not be adversely affected, the complainant or attorney for the complainant shall present all or part of the case in support of the complaint. The court may grant any relief available under section 46a-104. Where the Superior Court finds that a respondent has committed a discriminatory practice, the court shall grant the commission its fees and costs and award the commission a civil penalty, not exceeding ten thousand dollars, which shall be payable to the commission and used by the commission to advance the public interest in eliminating discrimination.

- Sec. 2. Section 46a-84 of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective October 1, 2018*):
 - (a) If the investigator fails to eliminate a discriminatory practice complained of pursuant to subsection (a) or (b) of section 46a-82 within fifty days of a finding of reasonable cause, the investigator shall, within ten days, certify the complaint and the results of the investigation to the executive director of the commission and to the Attorney General. The investigator's conclusion that conciliation has failed shall be conclusive on the issue.

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

40

41

42

43

44

45

(b) Upon (1) certification of a complaint filed pursuant to subsection (a) or (b) of section 46a-82, (2) the filing of a complaint pursuant to subsection (c) of said section, or (3) a decision to hear a complaint, which is made pursuant to subsection (e) of section 46a-83, the Chief Human Rights Referee shall appoint a human rights referee to act as a presiding officer to hear the complaint. The chief referee shall also appoint an individual authorized by subsection (e) of this section or a referee, other than the referee appointed to hear the complaint, to conduct settlement negotiations. The chief referee shall serve in the name of the commission a copy of the complaint, as the same may have been amended, requiring the respondent to answer the charges of the complaint, together with a written notice requiring the respondent to appear at a hearing or settlement conference at a date and time specified in the notice. A hearing on a complaint filed pursuant to subsection (a) or (b) of section 46a-82 shall be commenced by convening a hearing conference not later than forty-five days after the certification of the complaint. Such hearing shall be a de novo hearing on the merits of the complaint and not an appeal of the commission's processing of the complaint prior to its certification. A hearing on a complaint filed pursuant to subsection (c) of section 46a-82 shall be commenced by convening a hearing conference not later than twenty days after the date of notice of such complaint. Hearings shall proceed with reasonable dispatch and be concluded in accordance with the provisions of section 4-180.

- (c) The place of any hearing, hearing conference or settlement conference shall be the commission's administrative office in Hartford, unless all parties mutually agree to an alternate location.
- (d) The case in support of the complaint shall be presented at the hearing by the Attorney General, who shall be counsel for the commission, or by a commission legal counsel as provided in section 46a-55, as amended by this act. If the Attorney General or the commission legal counsel determines that a material mistake of law or fact has been made in the finding of reasonable cause on a complaint filed pursuant to subsection (a) or (b) of section 46a-82, or the

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54 55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

commission legal counsel determines that a complaint to be heard pursuant to subsection (e) of section 46a-83, should be further investigated, the Attorney General or the commission legal counsel may withdraw the certification of the complaint or the decision to hear the complaint and remand the file to the investigator for further action. The investigator shall complete any required action not later than ninety days after receipt of such file. The complainant may be represented by an attorney of the complainant's own choice. If the Attorney General or the commission legal counsel determines that the interests of the state will not be adversely affected, the complainant or the attorney for the complainant shall present all or part of the case in support of the complaint. No commissioner may participate in the deliberations of the presiding officer in the case.

- (e) A human rights referee or attorney who volunteers service pursuant to subdivision (18) of section 46a-54 may supervise settlement endeavors. In employment discrimination cases only, the complainant and respondent, with the permission of the chief referee, may engage in alternate dispute resolution endeavors for not more than three months. The cost of such alternate dispute resolution endeavors shall be borne by the complainant or the respondent, or both, and not by the commission. Any endeavors or negotiations for conciliation, settlement or alternate dispute resolution shall not be received in evidence.
- (f) The respondent shall file a written answer to the complaint under oath and appear at the hearing in person or otherwise, with or without counsel, and submit testimony and be fully heard. If the respondent fails to file a written answer not later than fifteen days after the date of service of the complaint, or fails to appear at the hearing, hearing conference or settlement conference after notice in accordance with section 4-177, the presiding officer or a referee or an attorney who volunteers services pursuant to subsection (e) of this section may enter an order of default and order such relief as is necessary to eliminate the discriminatory practice and make the complainant whole, except that if the default was entered by an attorney who volunteers services

pursuant to subsection (e) of this section, the chief referee shall appoint a referee to act as a presiding officer to award relief. The commission or the complainant may petition the Superior Court for enforcement of any such order for relief pursuant to section 46a-95.

- (g) The presiding officer conducting any hearing shall permit reasonable amendment to any complaint or answer and the testimony taken at the hearing shall be under oath and be transcribed at the request of any party.
- 123 (h) Each party shall be afforded the opportunity to inspect and copy relevant and material records, papers and documents not in the 124 125 possession of such party, except as otherwise provided by applicable 126 state or federal law. The presiding officer may order a party to produce 127 such records, papers and documents, and if a party fails to comply 128 with such order within thirty days of the date of such order, the 129 presiding officer may issue a nonmonetary order that the presiding 130 officer deems just and appropriate, including, but not limited to, an 131 order (1) finding that the matters that are the subject of the order are 132 established in accordance with the claim of the party requesting such 133 order, (2) prohibiting the party who has failed to comply with such 134 order from introducing designated matters into evidence, (3) limiting 135 the participation of the noncomplying party with regard to issues or 136 facts relating to the order, and (4) drawing an adverse inference 137 against the noncomplying party.
- 138 Sec. 3. (NEW) (Effective October 1, 2018) (a) Notwithstanding any 139 provision of chapter 50 of the general statutes, the appropriations 140 recommended for the Commission on Human Rights and 141 Opportunities shall be the estimates of expenditure requirements 142 transmitted to the Secretary of the Office of Policy and Management by 143 the executive director of the commission and the recommended 144 adjustments and revisions of such estimates shall be the recommended 145 adjustments and revisions, if any, transmitted by said executive 146 director to the Office of Policy and Management.
- 147 (b) Notwithstanding the provisions of section 4-85 of the general

119

120

121

statutes, the Governor shall not reduce allotment requisitions or allotments in force concerning the Commission on Human Rights and

150 Opportunities.

This act shall take effect as follows and shall amend the following sections:		
Sections.		
Section 1	<i>October 1, 2018</i>	46a-55
Sec. 2	October 1, 2018	46a-84
Sec. 3	October 1, 2018	New section

JUD Joint Favorable Subst.

The following Fiscal Impact Statement and Bill Analysis are prepared for the benefit of the members of the General Assembly, solely for purposes of information, summarization and explanation and do not represent the intent of the General Assembly or either chamber thereof for any purpose. In general, fiscal impacts are based upon a variety of informational sources, including the analyst's professional knowledge. Whenever applicable, agency data is consulted as part of the analysis, however final products do not necessarily reflect an assessment from any specific department.

OFA Fiscal Note

State Impact: None

Municipal Impact: None

Explanation

The bill makes several changes to the Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO), including: 1) prohibiting the governor from reducing CHRO's budget allotments and requiring the Office of Policy and Management (OPM) to recommend CHRO's appropriations, 2) allowing CHRO's executive director to assign commission legal counsel to represent the state's interests in certain proceedings, and 3) permitting CHRO legal counsel to bring a civil action, instead of administrative hearing, within available appropriations. The provisions have no fiscal impact.

The Out Years

State Impact: None

Municipal Impact: None

OLR Bill Analysis sHB 5516

AN ACT CONCERNING THE RESPONSIBILITIES AND FUNDING OF THE COMMISSION ON HUMAN RIGHTS.

SUMMARY

This bill makes various changes affecting the Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO). Specifically, it:

- 1. prohibits the governor from reducing CHRO's budget allotments and requires the Office of Policy and Management (OPM) to recommend appropriations for CHRO that reflect the agency's estimates of spending needs;
- 2. allows CHRO's executive director to assign commission legal counsel to represent the state's interests in any proceeding in which civil or human rights are at issue;
- allows the executive director, within available appropriations, to assign CHRO legal counsel to bring a civil action, instead of an administrative hearing, when doing so would be in the public interest and the parties agree to the case proceeding to court; and
- 4. specifies that parties to CHRO administrative hearings generally must be allowed to inspect and copy relevant and material documents and allows the presiding officer to impose nonmonetary penalties on parties that do not comply with orders to produce such documents.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 1, 2018

§ 3 — CHRO BUDGET

The bill requires the recommended CHRO budget that OPM submits to the legislature to be the estimates of expenditure

requirements, together with any recommended adjustments and revisions, that OPM receives from CHRO's executive director. It overrides existing law by prohibiting the governor from reducing an allotment of appropriated funds currently in force for, or requisitioned by, CHRO (see BACKGROUND).

§ 1 — CHRO LEGAL COUNSEL IN CIVIL LAWSUITS

Intervention in Certain Cases

The bill allows CHRO's executive director, through the supervising attorney, to assign CHRO legal counsel to represent the state's interests in any lawsuit or other proceeding in which civil or human rights are at issue. It allows CHRO legal counsel to intervene as a matter of right in any proceeding without permission from the parties, presiding officer, or court.

Civil Action in the Public Interest

Under existing law, certain cases within CHRO's jurisdiction proceed to an administrative hearing phase (e.g., if the investigator makes a finding that there is reasonable cause to believe that discrimination occurred and the parties cannot reach a settlement). The bill allows the CHRO executive director, through the supervising attorney and within available appropriations, to assign CHRO legal counsel to bring a civil action, instead of a case proceeding to an administrative hearing, if (1) she determines that this would be in the public interest and (2) the parties mutually agree, in writing, to the case proceeding in this way.

The legal counsel must bring the civil action within 90 days after notifying the parties of the executive director's determination. The action may be served by certified mail. The bill exempts such cases from certain conditions that apply to civil actions brought after CHRO has released a case from its jurisdiction (such as specific provisions on venue and the statute of limitations).

The bill limits the court's jurisdiction to the claims, counterclaims, defenses, or other matters that could be presented at a CHRO

administrative hearing, had the complaint remained with CHRO. The bill allows the complainant to intervene as a matter of right without permission from the court, CHRO, or the other party. The case must be tried without a jury.

Under the bill, the complainant or his or her attorney must present all or part of the case in support of the complaint if CHRO legal counsel determines that this will not adversely affect the state's interest.

The bill allows a court to grant the same relief that would be available in a civil action after a case was released from CHRO jurisdiction. If the court finds that a respondent committed a discriminatory practice, the bill requires the court to order the respondent to pay CHRO its fees and costs, in addition to a civil penalty of up to \$10,000. CHRO must use the funds from the penalty to advance the public interest in eliminating discrimination.

§ 2 — CHRO ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

Document Inspection and Consequences of Noncompliance

Under the bill, each party to a CHRO hearing must have the opportunity to inspect and copy relevant and material records, papers, and other documents not in the party's possession, unless another state or federal law would prohibit this. The bill allows the presiding officer to (1) order a party to produce these documents and (2) issue a nonmonetary order against a party who fails to comply within 30 days.

The nonmonetary order must be deemed just and appropriate by the officer and may do the following:

- 1. find that the matters that are the subject of the order are established as set forth in the other party's claim,
- 2. prohibit the noncomplying party from introducing designated matters into evidence,
- 3. limit that party's participation as to issues or facts relating to the

order, and

4. draw an adverse inference against that party.

BACKGROUND

Governor's Authority to Reduce Allotment Requisitions or Allotments in Force

By law, subject to certain limits and restrictions, the governor can reduce an allotment requisition or allotment in force if he (1) determines circumstances have changed after the budget's adoption or (2) estimates that budgeted resources will be insufficient to fully fund all appropriations. He may also do so if the comptroller's cumulative monthly financial statement shows a General Fund deficit of more than 1% of total General Fund appropriations. These reductions are called rescissions (CGS § 4-85).

COMMITTEE ACTION

Judiciary Committee

Joint Favorable Substitute Yea 24 Nay 17 (04/04/2018)